

EVALUATION FORM
BALTIC TRAM CALL FOR APPLICATIONS (2017)

| |
|---|
| Name of company: Title of project: Acronym/Project ID: |
|---|

Evaluation of Feasibility

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Is a suitable Analytical Research Facility(ARF) available? (Mark Y/N) | Choose an item. |
| Name of ARF (indicate specific laboratory, equipment essential to execute measurements): | |
| Does company qualify for consideration based on amount of de-minimis aid received? Mark Y/N | Choose an item. |
| Estimated cost of research (if known): | |

Evaluation of Expected Impact

| |
|--|
| Comments on the product development challenge and the added value for company from this research (is research proposal mature and well explained, is contribution of research to product development clearly explained; does the applicant provide examples of possible investments that would result from completing the research): |
| Comments on experimental methods or techniques proposed by applicant: |
| Experimental methods or techniques recommended by Evaluation Committee: |
| Company's link to any Smart Specialisation in the region of origin (if so, indicate which Smart Specialisation): |

Recommendation

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| VOTE OF BALTIC TRAM EVALUATION COMMITTEE ON FEASIBILITY CRITERIA - Mark APPROVE/REJECT | Choose an item. |
| Indicate whether decision made based on consensus or vote | Choose an item. |
| VOTE OF BALTIC TRAM EVALUATION COMMITTEE ON EXPECTED IMPACT CRITERIA - Mark APPROVE/REJECT | Choose an item. |
| Indicate whether decision made based on consensus or vote | Choose an item. |
| If evaluation criteria not met, please indicate main reasons: | |

Recommendation for execution is considered if application has met both feasibility and expected impact criteria. A negative vote on either of the criteria means the proposal is rejected.

| |
|--------------------------|
| Additional notes: |
|--------------------------|